

American Arbitration Association
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

Precision Pain Management PC
(Applicant)

- and -

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance
Company
(Respondent)

AAA Case No. 17-25-1425-9771

Applicant's File No. 3622351

Insurer's Claim File No. 32-49Z9-08N

NAIC No. 25178

ARBITRATION AWARD

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 06/26/2026
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 06/26/2026

Stacy Mandel Kaplan, Esq. from Israel Purdy, LLP participated virtually for the Applicant

Jeffrey Santos, Esq. from Sarah C. Varghese & Associates participated virtually for the Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$1,263.82**, was NOT AMENDED at the oral hearing.
Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.
3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 52 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on May 1, 2023; claimed related injury and underwent EMG/NCV studies on July 25, 2025 and physical l therapy treatment provided by the applicant from August 6, 2025 to September 3, 2025.

The applicant submitted a claim for these medical services, payment of which was timely denied by the respondent based on the IME of the EIP by Ajendra Sohal, M.D. which was performed on February 8, 2024. The IME cut-off was effective on June 1, 2024.

The issue to be determined at the hearing is whether the respondent established that the medical services provided by the applicant were not medically necessary.

4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed in the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

To support a lack of medical necessity respondent must "set forth a factual basis and medical rationale for the IME doctor's determination that there was a lack of medical necessity for the services rendered." Provvedere, Inc. v. Republic Western Ins. Co., 2014 NY Slip Op 50219(U) (App. Term2d, 11th and 13th Jud. Dists. 2014.) Respondent bears the burden of production in support of its lack of medical necessity defense, which if established shifts the burden of persuasion to applicant. See Bronx Expert Radiology, P.C. v. Travelers Ins. Co., 2006 NY Slip Op 52116 (App. Term 1st Dept. 2006.)

The Civil Courts have held that a defendant's peer review or medical evidence must set forth more than just a basic recitation of the expert's opinion. The trial courts have held that a peer review report's medical rationale will be insufficient to meet respondent's burden of proof if: 1) the medical rationale of its expert witness is not supported by evidence of a deviation from "generally accepted medical" standards; 2) the expert fails to cite to medical authority, standard, or generally accepted medical practice as a medical rationale for his/her findings; and 3) the peer review report fails to provide specifics as to the claim at issue; is conclusory or vague. See Nir v. Allstate, 7 Misc.3d 544 (N.Y. City Civ. Ct. 2005.)

To support its contention that the medical services provided to the EIP were not medically necessary, the respondent relied upon the report of the independent medical examination of the EIP by Dr. Sohal, which was objectively negative and unremarkable. Range of motion was determined with the assistance of a goniometer. The report presents a factually sufficient, cogent medical rationale in support of respondent's lack of medical necessity defense. Dr. Sohal performed a complete and comprehensive examination of the EIP which did not identify any objective positive findings and determined that her injuries were resolved.

Res Judicata- Collateral Estoppel

Res judicata and collateral estoppel are applicable to no-fault arbitration awards and bar relitigation of the same claim or issue. A.B. Medical Services PLLC v New York Central Mutual Fire Ins. Co., 12 Misc.3d 500, 820 N.Y.S.2d 422 (Civ. Ct. Kings Co. 2006), citing Matter of Ranni, 58 N.Y.2d 715, 458 N.Y.S.2d 910 (1982.)

A determination of the *res judicata* effect of a prior arbitration proceeding is for the arbitrator in a subsequent arbitration proceeding. City School Dist. Of City of Tonawanda v. Tonawanda Educ. Ass'n., 63 N.Y.S.2d 846, 482 N.Y.S.2d 258 (1984.)

It is well settled that any judgment, even judgments entered on default have *res judicata* or collateral estoppel effect. See Eagle Surgical Supply, Inc. v. AIG Indem. Ins. Co., 40 Misc. 3d 139(A) (App. Term 2013) Further, the Appellate Term has held that "[t]he declaratory judgment is a conclusive final determination, notwithstanding that it was entered on default...." Ava Acupuncture, P.C. v NY Central Mut. Fire Ins. Co., 34 Misc. 3d 149(A) (App. Term 2012.)

In prior hearing today (AAA case no. 17-25-1423-9599) I made a determination of this issue for these parties in favor of the respondent. The award was based on the same the IME of the EIP by Dr. Sohail which was performed on February 8, 2024 and is the basis of the respondent's denial in this matter.

I find that my prior award is *res judicata* on the issue of medical necessity. There is no new or different evidence in the record in the case in issue which would lead to a contrary finding and conclusion.

Based on the foregoing, the respondent has established that the medical services at issue were not medically necessary.

Accordingly, the claim is dismissed with prejudice.

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

6. **I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**

- ☐ The policy was not in force on the date of the accident
- ☐ The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- ☐ The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- ☐ The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- ☐ The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- ☐ The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- ☐ The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle
- ☐ The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the claim is DENIED in its entirety

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT

SS :

County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

07/16/2026
(Dated)

Anne Malone

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.

This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

Document Name: Final Award Form
Unique Modria Document ID:
9e4bcc80dd6c72494e21af62377bcc33

Electronically Signed

Your name: Anne Malone
Signed on: 07/16/2026