

American Arbitration Association
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

NYC Drugstore Inc.
(Applicant)

- and -

Starstone National Insurance Company
(Respondent)

AAA Case No. 17-25-1404-8249

Applicant's File No. none

Insurer's Claim File No. COSP03386B3

NAIC No.

ARBITRATION AWARD

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 06/15/2026
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 06/15/2026

Marc Schwartz, Esq. from Marc L. Schwartz P.C. participated virtually for the Applicant

No appearance from Sedgwick Claims Mgmt. Services participated by written submission for the Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$4,142.94**, was NOT AMENDED at the oral hearing.
Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.
3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 71 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on April 19, 2023; claimed related injury and received Lidocaine ointment and Cyclobenzaprine provided by the applicant on June 14, 2023 and Diclofenac gel and Celecoxib prescription medication provided by the applicant on July 7, 2023.

The applicant submitted a claim for these medical services. The respondent contends that it made partial payment for the two bills at issue based on based upon its determination of the correct reimbursable amount pursuant to the New York Workers' Compensation Medical Fee Schedule.

The applicant contends that it did not receive any payment for this claim.

The issue to be determined at the hearing is whether the respondent established its fee schedule defense.

4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed in the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

The applicant billed a total of \$4,142.94 for the oral and topical prescription medication at issue (\$1,880.90 for date of service 6/14/23 and \$2262.04 for date of service 7/7/23) for which the respondent contends that it made partial payment of \$3,772.16 pursuant to its determination of the appropriate fee schedule, leaving a balance of \$3,70.78.

Late denials

The denials of these bills are late on their face. However effective April 1, 2013, 11 NYCRR 65-3.8(g)(1) has been amended so that the application of the New York State Worker's Compensation fee schedule is no longer a precludable defense and no payment is due on those claims in excess of the fee schedule. Per 11 NYCRR 65-3.8(g), where the services were rendered after April 1, 2013, a defense of excessive fees is not subject to preclusion Surgicare Surgical Associates v. National Interstate Ins. Co., Misc.3d, N.Y.S.3d, 2015 N.Y. Slip Op. 25338 (App. Term 1st Dept. Oct. 8, 2015), 46 Misc.3d 736, 997 N.Y.S.2d 296 aff'g (Civ. Ct. Bronx Co. 2014) (New Jersey fee schedule.) The insurer is entitled to reduce the bills to the proper fee schedule amount.

Fee Schedule

To prevail in a fee schedule defense, the respondent must demonstrate by competent evidentiary proof that applicant's claims were in excess of the appropriate fee schedules, or otherwise respondent's defense of noncompliance with the appropriate fee schedule cannot be sustained. Continental Medical, P.C. v. Travelers Indemnity Co., 11 Misc.3d 145(A) (App. Term 1st Dept. 2006.)

An insurer fails to raise a triable issue of fact with respect to a defense that the fees charged were not in conformity with the Workers' Compensation fee schedule when it does not specify the actual reimbursement rates which formed

the basis for its determination that the claimant billed in excess of the maximum amount permitted. See St. Vincent Medical Services, P.C. v. GEICO Ins. Co., 29 Misc.3d 141(A), 907 N.Y.S.2d 441 (App. Term 2d, Dec. 8, 2010.)

The submissions do not include any documentation, such as a certified professional fee coder, medical professional or other expert to support its schedule defense.

In addition, to support partial payment of this claim, the respondent submitted a copy of a payment history which indicates payment of \$1,879.92 for date of service June 14, 2023 and \$1,892.14 for date of service July 7, 2023. This document indicates that these payments were made by check. However, it also indicates no clear date for these payments.

No one appeared at the hearing on behalf of the respondent, which relied upon its submissions.

Based on the foregoing, the respondent has failed to establish its fee schedule defense.

Accordingly, the applicant is awarded \$4,142.94 in disposition of this claim.

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

6. **I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**
☐ The policy was not in force on the date of the accident

- ☐ The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- ☐ The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- ☐ The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- ☐ The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- ☐ The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- ☐ The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle
- ☐ The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the applicant is AWARDED the following:

A.

Medical		From/To	Claim Amount	Status
	NYC Drugstore Inc.	07/07/23 - 07/07/23	\$2,262.04	Awarded: \$2,262.04
	NYC Drugstore Inc.	06/14/23 - 06/14/23	\$1,880.90	Awarded: \$1,880.90
Total			\$4,142.94	Awarded: \$4,142.94

- B. The insurer shall also compute and pay the applicant interest set forth below. 06/17/2025 is the date that interest shall accrue from. This is a relevant date only to the extent set forth below.

Applicant is awarded interest pursuant to the no-fault regulations. See generally, 11 NYCRR §65-3.9. Interest shall be calculated "at a rate of two percent per month, calculated on a *pro rata* basis using a 30 day month." See 11 NYCRR §64-3.9(a). A claim becomes overdue when it is not paid within 30 days after a proper demand is made for its payment. However, the regulations toll the accrual of interest when an applicant "does not request arbitration or institute a lawsuit within 30 days after the receipt of a denial of claim form or payment of benefits" calculated pursuant to Insurance Department regulations. Where a claim is untimely denied, or not denied or paid, interest shall accrue as of the 30th day following the date the claim is presented by the claimant to the insurer for payment. Where a claim is timely denied, interest shall accrue as of the date an action is commenced or an arbitration requested, unless an action is commenced or an arbitration requested within 30 days after receipt of the denial, in which event interest shall begin to accrue as of the date the denial is received by the claimant. See, 11 NYCRR §65-3.9(c.) The Superintendent and the New York Court of Appeals has interpreted this provision to apply regardless of whether the

particular denial was timely. LMK Psychological Servs. P.C. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 12 NY3d 217 (2009.)

C. Attorney's Fees

The insurer shall also pay the applicant for attorney's fees as set forth below

Applicant is awarded statutory attorney's fees pursuant to the no fault regulations. For cases filed after February 4, 2015 the attorney's fee shall be calculated as follows: 20% of the amount of first-party benefits awarded, plus interest thereon subject to no minimum fee and a maximum of \$1,360.00. See 11 NYCRR §65-4.6(d.)

- D. The respondent shall also pay the applicant forty dollars (\$40) to reimburse the applicant for the fee paid to the Designated Organization, unless the fee was previously returned pursuant to an earlier award.

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT

SS :

County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

07/09/2026
(Dated)

Anne Malone

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.

This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

Document Name: Final Award Form
Unique Modria Document ID:
fafef6ad850ae08de4010974b215536e

Electronically Signed

Your name: Anne Malone
Signed on: 07/09/2026