

American Arbitration Association
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

United Medical Monitoring PC
(Applicant)

- and -

Affirmative Direct Insurance Company
(Respondent)

AAA Case No. 17-25-1422-8778

Applicant's File No. DDR25-112051

Insurer's Claim File No. AD24082203

NAIC No. 10413

ARBITRATION AWARD

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 06/08/2026
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 06/08/2026

Michael Galeno, Esq. from Dino R. DiRienzo Esq. participated virtually for the Applicant

Shiv Patel, Esq. from *Abrams Fensterman, LLP participated virtually for the Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$6,877.20**, was NOT AMENDED at the oral hearing.
Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.
3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 29 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on July 9, 2024; claimed related injury and underwent intraoperative monitoring provided by the applicant on April 21, 2025.

The applicant submitted a claim for these medical services, payment of which was delayed pending responses to verification requests and then denied after 120 days from the initial date of the request for verification.

The verification requested was for documents and information related to a possible Workers' Compensation claim.

The respondent also asserted a fee schedule defense.

The issues to be determined at the hearing are:

Whether the respondent established its 120 day defense.

Whether the respondent established its fee schedule defense.

4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed in the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

120 Day Defense

If an insurer requires any additional information to evaluate the proof of claim, such request for verification must be made within 15 business days of the receipt of the bill in order to toll the 30 day period to pay or deny the claim. See 11 NYCRR 65-3.5(b); See also New York Hosp. Med. Ctr. of Queens v. Allstate Ins. Co., 2014 NY Slip Op 00640 (2d Dept. 2014.)

Where there is a timely original request for verification, but no response to the original request for verification is received within 30 days, or the response to the verification request is incomplete, then the insurer, within 10 calendar days after the expiration of that 30 day period, must follow up with a second request for verification. Id.

If there is no response to the second or follow up request for verification, the time in which the insurer must decide whether to pay or deny the claim is indefinitely tolled. Id.

Therefore, when a no-fault medical service provider fails to respond to the requests for verification the claim is premature and should be denied without prejudice.

120 day denial

However, pursuant to 11 NYCRR §65-3.5(o) an insurer may issue a denial if, more than 120 calendar days after the initial request for verification, the

applicant has not submitted all such verification under applicant's control or possession or written proof providing reasonable justification for the failure to comply.

The parties have a duty to communicate with each other. The purpose of the No-Fault statute is to ensure prompt resolution of claims submitted by parties injured in motor vehicle accidents. The parties' obligations are centered on good faith and common sense. Any questions concerning a communication should be addressed by further communication, not inaction. Dilon Medical Supply Corp. v. Travelers Ins. Co., 7 Misc.3d 927, 796 N.Y.S.2d 872 (Civ. Ct. Kings Co. 2005.)

The response to a verification request that is "arguably responsive" places the burden to take further action upon the respondent. All Health Medical Care, P.C. v. GEICO, 2 Misc.3d 907 (N.Y. City Civ. Ct. 2004.) Moreover, as long as applicant's documentation is "arguably responsive" to an insurer's verification request, the insurer must act affirmatively once it receives a response to its verification request. Media Neurology, P.C. v. Countrywide Ins. Co., 21 Misc.3d 1101 (N.Y. City Civ. Ct. 2005.)

In this matter, the respondent issued timely requests for verification related to a possible Workers' Compensation claim.

In Island Life Chiropractic, PC v Travelers Ins.Co. 64 Misc. 3d 143(A), 117 N.Y.S.3d 428 (App Term 2d Dept. 2019) the court held that "Where a no-fault insurer is relying on the defense that an action is premature because verification is outstanding, it is the defendant insurer's prima facie burden at trial to demonstrate (1) that verification requests were timely mailed and that the defendant did not receive the requested verification. (see 11 NYCRR 65-3.8[a]; Right Aid Medical Supply Corp. v State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co., 58 Misc 3d 140(A), 94 N.Y.S.3d 540 NY Slip OP 51875[U] (App Term 2d Dept, 2d, 11th & 13th Jud Dists (2017.)

In the instant matter, the respondent submitted proof of timely mailing of the verification requests to the EIP and his attorneys and evidence from someone with personal knowledge that a response was not received from the applicant.

The applicant argued that there was no evidence of proof of mailing of the verification requests to the applicant but did not provide support for its argument that this was necessary based on the regulations.

Based on the foregoing, the respondent has established its 120 day defense.

Under these circumstances the fee schedule issue is moot.

Accordingly, the claim is dismissed with prejudice.

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

6. **I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**

- The policy was not in force on the date of the accident
- The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle
- The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the claim is DENIED in its entirety

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT
SS :
County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

07/07/2026
(Dated)

Anne Malone

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.

This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator

must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

Document Name: Final Award Form
Unique Modria Document ID:
8a5ff797ceab6a48e4b788c2b54c159f

Electronically Signed

Your name: Anne Malone
Signed on: 07/07/2026