

American Arbitration Association
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

Gloss Bk Inc.
(Applicant)

- and -

MVAIC
(Respondent)

AAA Case No. 17-25-1420-0247

Applicant's File No. DK24-511328

Insurer's Claim File No. 725827

NAIC No. Self-Insured

ARBITRATION AWARD

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 05/20/2026
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 05/20/2026

Evan Polansky, Esq. from Korsunskiy Legal Group, P.C. participated virtually for the Applicant

Craig Marshall, Esq. from Marshall & Marshall, Esqs. participated virtually for the Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$2,300.00**, was NOT AMENDED at the oral hearing.
Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.
3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 31 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on June 29, 2024; claimed related injury and received an infrared heating pad system provided by the applicant on August 12, 2024.

The applicant submitted a claim for this durable medical (DME), payment of which was delayed pending verification requests for documents and information regarding coverage.

The verification requested was for information related to the EIP, including a household affidavit, NF-2, proof of residency, confirmation regarding insurance

or lack thereof, police report and information regarding medical treatment and whether other coverage was provided for the subject vehicle on the date of loss.

The issue to be determined at this hearing is whether the respondent established that the claim is premature.

4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

If an insurer requires any additional information to evaluate the proof of claim, such request for verification must be made within 15 business days of the receipt of the bill in order to toll the 30 day period to pay or deny the claim. See 11 NYCRR 65-3.5(b); See also New York Hosp. Med. Ctr. of Queens v. Allstate Ins. Co., 2014 NY Slip Op 00640 (2d Dept. 2014.)

Where there is a timely original request for verification, but no response to the original request for verification is received within 30 days, or the response to the verification request is incomplete, then the insurer, within 10 calendar days after the expiration of that 30 day period, must follow up with a second request for verification. Id.

If there is no response to the second or follow up request for verification, the time in which the insurer must decide whether to pay or deny the claim is indefinitely tolled. Id.

Therefore, when a no-fault medical service provider fails to respond to the requests for verification the claim is premature and should be denied without prejudice.

The parties have a duty to communicate with each other. The purpose of the No-Fault statute is to ensure prompt resolution of claims submitted by parties injured in motor vehicle accidents. The parties' obligations are centered on good faith and common sense. Any questions concerning a communication should be addressed by further communication, not inaction. Dilon Medical Supply Corp. v. Travelers Ins. Co., 7 Misc.3d 927, 796 N.Y.S.2d 872 (Civ. Ct. Kings Co. 2005.)

In the instant matter, the applicant did not respond to the verification requested and/or submitted a response that was incomplete and not "arguably responsive" to the verification requests.

The respondent sent several further requests for the information necessary to resolve the coverage issue, which remain outstanding.

Under these circumstances, the claim is premature and should be dismissed without prejudice, pending receipt of the verification requested.

Accordingly, the claim is dismissed without prejudice.

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

6. **I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**

- The policy was not in force on the date of the accident
- The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle
- The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the claim is DISMISSED without prejudice

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT
SS :
County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

06/13/2026
(Dated)

Anne Malone

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.

This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

Document Name: Final Award Form
Unique Modria Document ID:
309663b70063e427c79e62d209d0a846

Electronically Signed

Your name: Anne Malone
Signed on: 06/13/2026