

American Arbitration Association  
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

South Shore Family Health NP, P.C.  
(Applicant)

- and -

Progressive Casualty Insurance Company  
(Respondent)

AAA Case No. 17-25-1408-0236

Applicant's File No. 00148607

Insurer's Claim File No. 24-471540459

NAIC No. 24279

### ARBITRATION AWARD

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 04/27/2026  
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 04/27/2026

Sasha Hochman, Esq. from Drachman Katz, LLP participated virtually for the Applicant

Iris from McCormack, Mattei & Holler participated virtually for the Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$325.93**, was NOT AMENDED at the oral hearing.  
Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.
3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 25 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on October 28, 2024; claimed related injury and underwent an office visit and prolonged evaluation provided by the applicant on December 18, 2024 and December 19, 2024.

The applicant submitted a claim for these medical services, payment of which was denied by the respondent based on its finding that benefits are not payable as the EIP failed to comply with the policy terms by failing to appear for two scheduled examinations under oath.

**The issues to be determined at the hearing are:**

**Whether the respondent established that the EIP violated a condition precedent to coverage.**

**Whether the respondent's denial based on the EIP's failure to appear for an EUO can be sustained.**

#### 4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed in the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

It is the respondent's burden to prove that the bills in question were properly denied. Under 11 NYCRR 65-1.1, which prescribes the No-Fault Mandatory Personal Injury Protection Endorsement which must be included in all owners policies of motor vehicle liability insurance issued in New York, the "Conditions" section of the endorsement contains a "Proof of Claim" provision which states in pertinent part that "Upon request by the Company, the eligible injured person or that person's assignee or representative shall:(b) as may reasonably be required submit to examinations under oath by any person named by the Company and subscribe the same..."

If the respondent requires an EUO of the EIP it has 15 business days after receipt of proof of claim in which to send correspondence requesting the examination under oath. If the party fails to attend, within 10 calendar days of the no-show the insurer must contact the party from whom the EUO is requested to give the party a second opportunity to attend.

If the party fails to appear at the rescheduled EUO, an insurer may issue a denial of pending claims based upon the failure to meet the condition for coverage in not submitting to the requested EUO, as required under the prescribed endorsement. There is no requirement in the regulation that the denial must state the specific reason(s) why the insurer required the EUO.

The respondent alleges to have attempted to schedule the EUO of the EIP, who failed to appear.

The respondent submitted copies of letters which were sent to the EIP dated December 13, 2024 and January 13, 2025 for EUOs scheduled on January 10, 2025 and January 28, 2025, respectively.

The scheduling letters were proper as to form and there is no evidence submitted to establish that the letters were improper in any way, or that they were sent to an improper address. Based upon the policy violation, a timely denial was issued.

The respondent submitted an affidavit to support the scheduling of the EUOs and mailing of the scheduling letters. In addition, the respondent provided transcripts dated January 10, 2025 and January 28, 2025 to support its contention that the EIP failed to appear for the two scheduled examinations under oath.

The policy breach in this case was instituted by the EIP. It was her failure to appear at the two scheduled examinations under oath that caused the denial of the claim. The EIP's failure to appear for the examinations under oath breached a condition precedent to coverage. Unitrin Advantage Ins. Co. v. Bayshore Physical Therapy, PLLC, 82 A.D.3d 559, 560 (N.Y. App. Div. 1<sup>st</sup> Dept. 2011) held that:

When an EIP or applicant "failed to appear for the requested IMEs, [EUOs] [the insurer] had the right to deny all claims retroactively to the date of loss, regardless of whether the denials were timely issued...A denial premised on breach of a condition precedent to coverage voids the policy ab initio and, in such case, the insurer cannot be precluded from asserting a defense premised on no coverage.

The EIP presented no evidence that she did not receive the letters scheduling the examinations under oath or to refute the proof that she did not appear for the examinations under oath on January 10, 2025 and January 28, 2025.

Based upon the proof presented, I find in that the respondent established that the EIP violated a condition precedent to coverage and that its denial can be sustained.

**Accordingly, the claim is dismissed with prejudice.**

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.  
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

**6. I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**

- The policy was not in force on the date of the accident
- The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle
- The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the applicant is AWARDED the following:

A.

Medical		From/To	Claim Amount	Status
	South Shore Family Health NP, P.C.	12/19/24 - 12/19/24	\$224.00	Awarded: \$224.00
	South Shore Family Health NP, P.C.	12/18/24 - 12/18/24	\$101.93	Awarded: \$101.93
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$325.93</b>	<b>Awarded: \$325.93</b>

B. The insurer shall also compute and pay the applicant interest set forth below. 07/09/2025 is the date that interest shall accrue from. This is a relevant date only to the extent set forth below.

Applicant is awarded interest pursuant to the no-fault regulations. See generally, 11 NYCRR §65-3.9. Interest shall be calculated "at a rate of two percent per month, calculated on a *pro rata* basis using a 30 day month." See 11 NYCRR §64-3.9(a). A claim becomes overdue when it is not paid within 30 days after a proper demand is made for its payment. However, the regulations toll the accrual of interest when an applicant "does not request arbitration or institute a lawsuit within 30 days after the

receipt of a denial of claim form or payment of benefits" calculated pursuant to Insurance Department regulations. Where a claim is untimely denied, or not denied or paid, interest shall accrue as of the 30<sup>th</sup> day following the date the claim is presented by the claimant to the insurer for payment. Where a claim is timely denied, interest shall accrue as of the date an action is commenced or an arbitration requested, unless an action is commenced or an arbitration requested within 30 days after receipt of the denial, in which event interest shall begin to accrue as of the date the denial is received by the claimant. See, 11 NYCRR §65-3.9(c.) The Superintendent and the New York Court of Appeals has interpreted this provision to apply regardless of whether the particular denial was timely. LMK Psychological Servs. P.C. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 12 NY3d 217 (2009.)

C. Attorney's Fees

The insurer shall also pay the applicant for attorney's fees as set forth below

Applicant is awarded statutory attorney's fees pursuant to the no fault regulations. For cases filed after February 4, 2015 the attorney's fee shall be calculated as follows: 20% of the amount of first-party benefits awarded, plus interest thereon subject to no minimum fee and a maximum of \$1,360.00. See 11 NYCRR §65-4.6(d.)

- D. The respondent shall also pay the applicant forty dollars (\$40) to reimburse the applicant for the fee paid to the Designated Organization, unless the fee was previously returned pursuant to an earlier award.

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT  
SS :  
County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

05/02/2026  
(Dated)

Anne Malone

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

*This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.*

*This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.*

**ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE**

**Document Name:** Final Award Form  
**Unique Modria Document ID:**  
2985e3af0b37c4394a0b1f274cdb5d8

**Electronically Signed**

Your name: Anne Malone  
Signed on: 05/02/2026