

American Arbitration Association  
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

Uptown Healthcare Management Inc d/b/a  
East Tremont Medical Center  
(Applicant)

- and -

Geico Insurance Company  
(Respondent)

AAA Case No.	17-24-1360-4776
Applicant's File No.	n/a
Insurer's Claim File No.	0411396820101079
NAIC No.	35882

**ARBITRATION AWARD**

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 03/19/2025  
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 03/19/2025

Usman Nawaz, Esq. from Law Offices of Hillary Blumenthal LLC (Hoboken)  
participated virtually for the Applicant

Justin Addison from Geico Insurance Company participated virtually for the Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$326.81**, was AMENDED and permitted by the arbitrator at the oral hearing.

The applicant amend the amount claimed to \$59.42 to conform to the appropriate fee schedule and reflect payments made by the respondent.

Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.

3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 40 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on December 22, 2023; claimed related injury and underwent left shoulder arthroscopy with anesthesia provided at the applicant's facility on March 5, 2024.

The applicant submitted a claim for this facility fee and physician's services, partial payment of which was timely made by the respondent based upon its determination of the correct reimbursable amount pursuant to the New York Workers' Compensation Medical Fee Schedule.

**The issue to be determined at the hearing is whether the respondent established its fee schedule defense.**

#### 4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed in the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

The applicant billed a total of \$1025.01 for the services at issue, for which the respondent made partial payment of \$698.20 pursuant to its calculation of the correct reimbursable amount pursuant to the appropriate fee schedule, leaving a balance of \$326.81.

At the hearing, the applicant amended the total amount in dispute to \$59.42 based on a plain reading of the appropriate fee schedule for the physician's fee. The respondent contends that no further reimbursement is due.

To prevail in its fee schedule defense, the respondent must demonstrate by competent evidentiary proof that the applicant's claims are in excess of the appropriate fee schedule. If the respondent fails to do so, its defense of noncompliance with the New York Workers' Compensation Medical Fee Schedule cannot be sustained. See Continental Medical, P.C. v Travelers Indemnity Co., 11 Misc. 3d 145A (App. Term 1<sup>st</sup> Dept. 2006.)

An insurer fails to raise a triable issue of fact with respect to a defense that the fees charged were not in conformity with the Workers' Compensation fee schedule when it does not specify the actual reimbursement rates which formed the basis for its determination that the claimant billed in excess of the maximum amount permitted. See St. Vincent Medical Services, P.C. v. GEICO Ins. Co., 29 Misc.3d 141(A), 907 N.Y.S.2d 441 (App. Term 2d, Dec. 8, 2010.)

The respondent did not submit an affidavit from a certified professional fee coder, medical professional or other expert to refute the findings of the respondent's expert.

At the hearing, the applicant argued that a plain reading of the fee schedule supports the billing for the physician's anesthesia services.

I find that the respondent did not establish its fee schedule defense and the applicant correctly argued that the amended amount for this claim is supported by a plain reading of the fee schedule.

Based on the foregoing, the respondent has failed to establish its fee schedule defense.

**Accordingly, the applicant is awarded \$59.42 in disposition of this claim.**

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.  
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

**6. I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**

- ☐ The policy was not in force on the date of the accident
- ☐ The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- ☐ The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- ☐ The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- ☐ The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- ☐ The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- ☐ The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle
- ☐ The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the applicant is AWARDED the following:

A.

Medical		From/To	Claim Amount	Amount Amended	Status
	<b>Uptown Healthcare Management Inc d/b/a East Tremont Medical Center</b>	<b>03/05/24 - 03/05/24</b>	<b>\$267.39</b>		<b>Denied</b>
	<b>Uptown Healthcare Management Inc d/b/a East Tremont Medical Center</b>	<b>04/10/24 - 04/10/24</b>	<b>\$59.42</b>	<b>\$59.42</b>	<b>Awarded: \$59.42</b>
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$326.81</b>		<b>Awarded: \$59.42</b>

- B. The insurer shall also compute and pay the applicant interest set forth below. 08/12/2024 is the date that interest shall accrue from. This is a relevant date only to the extent set forth below.

Applicant is awarded interest pursuant to the no-fault regulations. See generally, 11 NYCRR §65-3.9. Interest shall be calculated "at a rate of two percent per month, calculated on a *pro rata* basis using a 30 day month." See 11 NYCRR §64-3.9(a). A claim becomes overdue when it is not paid within 30 days after a proper demand is made for its payment. However, the regulations toll the accrual of interest when an applicant "does not request arbitration or institute a lawsuit within 30 days after the receipt of a denial of claim form or payment of benefits" calculated pursuant to Insurance Department regulations. Where a claim is untimely denied, or not denied or paid, interest shall accrue as of the 30<sup>th</sup> day following the date the claim is presented by the claimant to the insurer for payment. Where a claim is timely denied, interest shall accrue as of the date an action is commenced or an arbitration requested, unless an action is commenced or an arbitration requested within 30 days after receipt of the denial, in which event interest shall begin to accrue as of the date the denial is received by the claimant. See, 11 NYCRR §65-3.9(c.) The Superintendent and the New York Court of Appeals has interpreted this provision to apply regardless of whether the particular denial was timely. LMK Psychological Servs. P.C. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 12 NY3d 217 (2009.)

C. Attorney's Fees

The insurer shall also pay the applicant for attorney's fees as set forth below

Applicant is awarded statutory attorney's fees pursuant to the no fault regulations. For cases filed after February 4, 2015 the attorney's fee shall be calculated as follows: 20% of the amount of first-party benefits awarded, plus interest thereon subject to no minimum fee and a maximum of \$1,360.00. See 11 NYCRR §65-4.6(d.)

- D. The respondent shall also pay the applicant forty dollars (\$40) to reimburse the applicant for the fee paid to the Designated Organization, unless the fee was previously returned pursuant to an earlier award.

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT

SS :

County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

03/21/2025

(Dated)

Anne Malone

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

*This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.*

*This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.*

## **ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE**

**Document Name:** Final Award Form  
**Unique Modria Document ID:**  
951014e9e1f0fc2d739f3f3677add6b7

### **Electronically Signed**

Your name: Anne Malone  
Signed on: 03/21/2025