

American Arbitration Association  
New York No-Fault Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between:

Shahid Mian MD P.C.  
(Applicant)

- and -

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance  
Company  
(Respondent)

AAA Case No. 17-24-1347-4386

Applicant's File No. n/a

Insurer's Claim File No. 3058J869W

NAIC No. 25178

**ARBITRATION AWARD**

I, Anne Malone, the undersigned arbitrator, designated by the American Arbitration Association pursuant to the Rules for New York State No-Fault Arbitration, adopted pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Superintendent of Insurance, having been duly sworn, and having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties make the following **AWARD**:

Injured Person(s) hereinafter referred to as: EIP

1. Hearing(s) held on 02/03/2025  
Declared closed by the arbitrator on 02/03/2025

Usman Nawaz, Esq. from Law Offices of Hillary Blumenthal LLC (Hoboken)  
participated virtually for the Applicant

Scott Schwaber, Esq. from Freiberg, Peck and Kang, LLP participated virtually for the  
Respondent

2. The amount claimed in the Arbitration Request, **\$350.00**, was NOT AMENDED at the oral hearing.  
Stipulations WERE NOT made by the parties regarding the issues to be determined.
3. Summary of Issues in Dispute

The 31 year old EIP reported involvement in a motor vehicle accident on October 29, 2023; claimed related injury and underwent an office visit provided by the applicant on November 1, 2023.

The applicant submitted a claim for these medical services. The respondent contends that it did not provide New York no-fault coverage for the vehicle involved in this accident on the date of this loss and that the applicant has no standing to bring this action in New York.

The respondent also asserted a fee schedule defense.

**The issues to be determined at this hearing are:**

**Whether the applicant has standing to bring this action in this forum in New York.**

**Whether the respondent established its fee schedule defense.**

#### 4. Findings, Conclusions, and Basis Therefor

This hearing was held on Zoom and the decision is based upon the documents reviewed in the Modria File as well as the arguments made by counsel and/or representative at the arbitration hearing. Only the arguments presented at the hearing are preserved in this decision; all other arguments not presented at the hearing are considered waived.

##### Jurisdiction/Venue/Standing

The respondent contends that New York is not the proper venue for the claim at issue because it involves New Jersey benefits and therefore the arbitration has been filed in the incorrect venue.

The submissions indicate that the EIP was a passenger in a vehicle which, according to the Declarations Page was registered in New Jersey to a New Jersey resident under New Jersey policy no. 133 3202-B18-30C which was issued in New Jersey for a policy term of March 20, 2023 to August 18, 2023. The police report confirms that the subject accident occurred. The EIP resides in New Jersey and the treatment at issue was provided in New Jersey.

Conflicts relating to an insurance policy must be resolved by application of the conflict of law rules relevant to contracts. See Matter of Integon Insurance Co. v Garcia, 281 A.D.2d 480 (2d Dept. 2001). The courts apply the "center of gravity" or "grouping of contacts" inquiry to determine which State has the most significant contacts to the dispute. See Matter of Eagle Insurance Co. v Singletary, 279 A.D.2d 56 (2d Dept. 2000.)

In a case involving a contract, contacts to be examined are the place of contracting; the place of negotiation and performance; the location of the subject matter of the contract; and the domicile or place of business of the contracting parties. See Zurich Insurance Co. v Shearson Lehman Hutton, Inc., 84 N.Y.2d 309, (N.Y. 1994.)

Finally, the appellate courts have held that; "[w]here an action is one involving the rights and duties of the parties to an automobile contract, the law of the State

where the policy was written, as evidence by the parties' understanding as to the principal location of the insured risk, would be controlling, irrespective of the fact that the accident occurred in another State." Gov't Employees Ins. Co. v. Sheerin, 65 A.D.2d 10 (2d Dept. 1978.)

The pertinent facts of the claim at issue are not in dispute. The applicant is seeking first-party no-fault benefits under a New Jersey automobile insurance policy which was written in New Jersey.

After a review of the evidence submitted, I find that this forum is not the proper venue for resolution of this dispute and that the applicant did not have standing to bring this action in New York.

Under these circumstances, the fee schedule issue is moot.

**Accordingly, the claim is dismissed without prejudice to allow for the action to be brought in the proper venue.**

Any further issues submitted in the record are held to be moot and/or waived insofar as they were not raised at the time of this hearing. This decision is in full disposition of all claims for no-fault benefits presently before this Arbitrator.

5. Optional imposition of administrative costs on Applicant.  
Applicable for arbitration requests filed on and after March 1, 2002.

I do NOT impose the administrative costs of arbitration to the applicant, in the amount established for the current calendar year by the Designated Organization.

**6. I find as follows with regard to the policy issues before me:**

- ☐ The policy was not in force on the date of the accident
- ☐ The applicant was excluded under policy conditions or exclusions
- ☐ The applicant violated policy conditions, resulting in exclusion from coverage
- ☐ The applicant was not an "eligible injured person"
- ☐ The conditions for MVAIC eligibility were not met
- ☐ The injured person was not a "qualified person" (under the MVAIC)
- ☐ The applicant's injuries didn't arise out of the "use or operation" of a motor vehicle



The respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the New York No-Fault arbitration forum

Accordingly, the claim is DISMISSED without prejudice

This award is in full settlement of all no-fault benefit claims submitted to this arbitrator.

State of CT

SS :

County of Fairfield

I, Anne Malone, do hereby affirm upon my oath as arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which is my award.

02/04/2025

(Dated)

Anne Malone

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

*This award is payable within 30 calendar days of the date of transmittal of award to parties.*

*This award is final and binding unless modified or vacated by a master arbitrator. Insurance Department Regulation No. 68 (11 NYCRR 65-4.10) contains time limits and grounds upon which this award may be appealed to a master arbitrator. An appeal to a master arbitrator must be made within 21 days after the mailing of this award. All insurers have copies of the regulation. Applicants may obtain a copy from the Insurance Department.*

## ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

**Document Name:** Final Award Form  
**Unique Modria Document ID:**  
5ff7a299cb580b2e29badfde6ac6ad7

### Electronically Signed

Your name: Anne Malone  
Signed on: 02/04/2025